RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

Canon

DEC 2 1 2005

FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

TO: United States Patent and Trademark Office

Art Unit: 2825

Examiner: Dinh, Paul Fax: (571) 273-8300

FROM: Michael Nornberg

c/o Canon U.S.A. Inc. Intellectual Property Division 15975 Alton Parkway, Irvine CA. 92618-3731 (949) 932-3441 Facsimile: (949) 932-3560

DATE: December 21, 2005

NO. OF PAGES: 4 (including cover page)

MESSAGE

Please see attached Response to Restriction Requirement for Application No. 10/702,171.

This facsimile message and accompanying documents are intended only for the use of the addressee indicated above. Information that is privileged or otherwise confidential may be contained therein. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, review or use of this message, documents or information contained therein is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately by telephone or facsimile and mail the original to us at the above address. Thank you.

DEC 2 1 2005

PTO/SB/21 (09-04)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006, OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Tradunark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE cond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

10/702.171

11/4/2003

Under the Pacework Reduction Act of 1995.	Application Number	10/702.171		<u> </u>			
TRANSMITTAL	Filing Date	1 1/4/2003 Masakazu Matsugu					
FORM	First Named Inventor						
CONTRACTOR	Art Unit	2825					
	Examiner Name	Dinh, Paul					
(to be used for all correspondence after initial	Attorney Docket Number	CFA00017US	-	—— · 			
Fotal Number of Pages in This Submission		CFADGU1703	-				
ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)							
Fee Transmittal Form Fee Attached Amendment/Reply Restriction Requirement After Final Affidavits/declaration(s) Extension of Time Request Express Abandonment Request Information Disclosure Statement Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Reply to Missing Parts	Drawing(s) Licensing-related Papers Petition Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence A Terminal Disclaimer Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s) Landscape Table on CD Romarks	Address L [Appeal of Appeal (Appeal (Appeal Proprie Status	inclosure(s) (please identily			
SIGNA Firm Name Canon U. S. A., Inc. IP D Signature	STURE OF APPLICANT, ATTO	RNEY, OF	RAGENT				
Printed name Michael Nomberg							
Date 12/2//05		Reg. No.	46,502				
12/21/13		<u>_</u>	_				
I hereby certify that this correspondence is	ERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISS			ited States Postal Service with			
sufficient postage as first class mall in an er the date shown below:	nvelope addressed to: Commissioner fo	or Patents, P.	Q. Bax 1450, A	Nexandria, VA 22313-1450 on			
Signature	L-						
Typed or printed name Chi Lal			Date	12/21/05			

This collection of information is required by 37 GFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to fite (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiatily is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, propering, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the including case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Aloxandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FERS OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

Application No.: 10/702,171 Attorney Docket No.: CFA00017US RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

DEC 2 1 2005

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants:	Matsugu et al.)	Confirmation No.:	7310
Serial No.:	10/863,095)	Art Unit:	2825
Filed:	November 4, 2003)	Examiner:	Dinh, Paul
For:	HIERARCHICAL PROCESSING APPARATUS)))		

ELECTION WITH TRAVERSE

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir/Madam:

In response to the Examiner's Election of Species Requirement of November 22, 2005, the time set for response being one month from the mailing date from the U.S.P.T.O. (i.e. December 22, 2005), Applicant hereby elects, with traverse, Species I. Applicant notes that Claims 1-12 are readable on the elected species. The above election is made with traverse for the reasons discussed herein below.

In the subject Restriction Requirement, the Examiner submits that the instant application contains claims directed to numerous patentably distinct species of the claimed invention. In particular, the Examiner contends that the subject application contains eight (I through VIII) patentably distinct species corresponding to each of the eight embodiments presented in the specification. As a result, the Examiner has required an election of one of the aforementioned purported species.

Application No.: 10/702,171

Attorney Docket No.: CFA00017US

Applicant respectfully submits that the Examiner has omitted one of two criteria for a proper restriction requirement established by the U.S.P.T.O. as a matter of policy. That is, as set forth in MPEP § 803, an "appropriate explanation" as to the existence of "serious burden" if the restriction were not required.

While the Examiner has alleged a possible distinction between the identified species, the Examiner has not shown that a concurrent examination of species would present a "serious burden". Applicant respectfully submits that the search for the combination of features recited in the claims of the individual species, if not totally coextensive, would appear to have a substantial degree of overlap. Therefore, because the search for each species appears to be substantially the same, Applicant submits that no undue or serious burden would be presented in concurrently examining Species I through VIII. Thus, for the above-noted reasons, and consistent with the office policy set forth above in MPEP § 803, Applicant respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw the species requirement in this application.

For the reasons discussed above, the Examiner's restriction requirement is believed to be improper. Nevertheless, Applicant has elected, with traverse, the invention defined as Species I, which is directed to Claims 1-12.

Should there be any questions or comments, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the below-listed telephone number.

If any additional fee is required, please charge Deposit Account No. 502456.

D.,.

Michael D. Mornberg

Respectfully submitted

Reg. No. 46,502

Canon U.S.A. Inc.; IP Div.

15975 Alton Parkway

Irvine, CA 92618-3731

(949) 932-3441